

Superfluidity of liquid helium

- Helium-4 displays **superfluidity** below $T_{\lambda} \approx 2.17$ K
- At $1 \leq T < T_{\lambda}$: two-fluid phenomenology
- → coupling between **normal** (viscous) and **superfluid** (inviscid) components



Figure 1. Left: Phase diagram of ⁴He. Right: Relative densities of both components.

Counterflow phenomenon

- Produced by a temperature gradient in superfluid helium
- Normal and superfluid components flow with mean relative velocity U_{ns}
- Very efficient heat transport by normal component





Hall–Vinen–Bekarevich–Khalatnikov model

Two-fluid model at macroscopic scales:

$$\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t} + (\boldsymbol{U}_{n} + \boldsymbol{v}_{n}) \cdot \nabla v_{n} = -\frac{\nabla p_{n}}{\rho_{n}} + \nu_{n} \nabla^{2} v_{n} - \frac{F_{ns}}{\rho_{n}} + \varphi_{n}$$
$$\frac{\partial v_{s}}{\partial t} + (\boldsymbol{U}_{s} + \boldsymbol{v}_{s}) \cdot \nabla v_{s} = -\frac{\nabla p_{s}}{\rho_{s}} + \nu_{s} \nabla^{2} v_{s} + \frac{F_{ns}}{\rho_{s}} + \varphi_{s}$$
$$\nabla \cdot v_{n} = \nabla \cdot v_{s} = 0$$

mean velocity of each component ($\Rightarrow U_{ns} = U_n - U_s$) $\boldsymbol{U}_{
m n}$, $\boldsymbol{U}_{
m S}$ fluctuating velocity of each component $v_{
m n}$, $v_{
m S}$ effective superfluid viscosity \rightarrow microscopic dissipation $\nu_{\rm S}(T$ external forcing ~ Normal(0, $\sigma_{\rm f}$) at wave numbers $|\mathbf{k}| \approx k_{\rm f}$ $\pmb{arphi}_{\mathsf{N}}, \pmb{arphi}_{\mathsf{S}}$ mutual friction force $F_{\rm ns} = \alpha \rho_{\rm s} \Omega_0 (v_{\rm n} - v_{\rm s})$ $\Omega_0 \sim \sqrt{\langle |\omega_{
m S}|^2
angle}$ mutual friction frequency temperature-dependent mutual friction parameter $\alpha(T)$

Counterflow-induced inverse energy cascade in three-dimensional superfluid turbulence

Juan Ignacio Polanco Giorgio Krstulovic

Université Côte d'Azur, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, CNRS, Laboratoire Lagrange, Nice

Bidimensionalisation under intense counterflow

At strong counterflow velocity U_{ns} : → system becomes quasi-two-dimensional \rightarrow reminiscent of rotating turbulence, MHD, thin-layer flows, ...





Figure 3. Statistically-steady 3D superfluid turbulence under strong counterflow at T = 1.9 K.

Emergence of a split energy cascade

- Starting from initial state with zero fluctuations $(v_n = v_s = 0)$: \rightarrow inverse energy cascade for $k < k_{\rm f}$, with $E(k) \sim k^{-5/3}$ \rightarrow direct energy cascade for $k > k_{\rm f}$, with $E(k) \sim k^{-3}$
- \rightarrow scalings compatible with phenomenology of **classical 2D turbulence**



Figure 4. Temporal evolution of kinetic energy spectrum. Times are normalised by the forcing time scale $t_f = (k_f \sigma_f)^{-1/2}$. Inset: normalised energy flux. Simulation performed using $N^3 = 1024^3$ collocation points.

Abrupt transition towards split cascade scenario



Figure 5. Kinetic energy spectrum for different counterflow velocities. Inset: relative large-scale dissipation using 2D (squares) and 3D (triangles) forcing schemes.

Here, simulations are performed with a large-scale dissipation term and with hyperviscous small-scale dissipation.

A **2D forcing scheme** is used to obtain a cleaner quasi-2D state at large U_{ns} .

The critical counterflow velocity U_{ns}^* can be expressed in terms of the forcing and the **mutual friction** parameters.



Figure 6. Relative large-scale dissipation Q_{μ} for different forcing and mutual friction parameters.

Perspectives

- Characterisation of temperature effects and hysteresis.



Transition happens **abruptly** at critical counterflow velocity U_{ns}^* .

Motivate experimental study of (quasi-)2D turbulence in superfluid helium. • The **physical origin** of this transition is not currently understood. Application to other two-fluid systems (e.g. partially-ionised MHD).